

Prof. Rachel Alterman, urban planner and lawyer - is a professor (emeritus, non-retired) at the Faculty of Architecture and Town Planning at the Technion, where she heads the Laboratory for Comparative Research in Planning and Building Law and Land Policy. She is the founding president of PLPR (International Academic Association on Planning, Law and Property Rights), an Honorary Member of the Association of European Schools of Planning and a Senior Researcher at the Samuel Neaman Institute for National Policy Research at the Technion. alterman@technion.ac.il

Plan 2020, its Relationships, Links and Influences on National Plan Considerations – Outline Plans and Master Plans

Moti Kaplan

The 2020 Plan created a new planning discourse in Israel. Its main points: changing the old planning world's consciousness and worldviews, formulating 'planning thought' - a platform for new ideas and methods, and creating a new language of planning terms and concepts. The original concept and ideas embedded in the 2020 Plan are reflected in all areas of national and metropolitan planning in Israel. This paper dedicates a special place to the relationship of Plan 2020 and NOP 31: parallel in many ways and with reciprocal links, NOP 31 is practical, purposeful and rapid, a unique phenomenon in the field of planning. Its language is statutory, in accordance with the Planning and Building Act. The 2020 Plan extends and offers planning tools, alternatives, ideas, and the development of a design language for form. The two plans, which were drafted at the same time, affected each other, and both affected NMP 35, which is still valid today. The 2020 Plan had significant impacts on some national outline plans, policy documents, master plans and government decisions. Sustainable development policies, conservation of open spaces, adaptation to the climate crisis, conversion to renewable energy, and the ocean as a resource for development and conservation were discussed at length and their seeds were sown in the 2020 plan.

Plan 2020 - Creating a New Planning Language

Moti Kaplan

Besides its impact in the planning field, the Israel 2020 plan created a new planning language - a formal dictionary dealing with the morphology and structure of planning. The Israel 2020 plan decomposed

Voyage into the Known: Israel 2020 as a Planning Turning Point

Iris Han

In "Israel 2020" the depletion of land resources is the main problem facing the planning system. This implied several basic principles, chiefly directing most development to the cities and preserving green barriers and open spaces. The results of this turning point are visible today, but the revolution has not yet been completed. In some parts of the country, especially in the periphery, there is still a sense of abundant space, and wasteful suburban construction is practiced there, as well as in the center of the country. The "Israel 2020" plan was the first to see open spaces as valuable in themselves, worth preservation and cultivation, and not necessarily an opportunity for development. The open space system and sustainable development policy won their own volumes, and considerable attention. The plan highlighted open spaces as embodying social, heritage and cultural values, historical memory, and leisure and recreation, beyond their "classic - nature conservation" values. Initiating the concepts of open space continuity and its ecological importance, the plan established additional dimensions for open space protection and cultivation. Following the "Israel 2020" plan, there was a change in planning institutions' and the general public's attitudes in relation to open spaces, but also in relation to "returning to the city", when they understood that the urban quality of life is the key to environmental and nature preservation in Israel.

The Birth of a Plan: The Story of Israel 2020'from Personal Involvement

Rachel Alterman

"Israel 2020 plan" is the most ambitious national planning document ever compiled in Israel, and can occupy a respectable place even on an international scale. Adam Mazor's initiative was propelled by a single factor: His calculation that Israel doubles its floor space every 20 years. Today, this figure is well known, but at the time, it constituted a revolutionary thought and succeeded in subduing the ethos that Israeli development policy should maximize population dispersal. The contribution of the Israel 2020 plan is its multidisciplinary, long-term thinking. Its conclusions were incorporated not only into the large statutory plans that followed, but also seeped into the perceptions of decision makers across public institutions and deeper yet into the general public discourse.